

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/065,802	TRAPP ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ronald Baum	2136	

All Participants:

(1) Ronald Baum.

(2) Marisa Dubue.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 December 2005

Time: 12:00

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

37,39

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed with Ms. Dubue the changing the phrase "being adapted to contain" to "including" in claims 1,13-18,20,27,30,33-42,50,55,59,65, and to keep the word "to" before the phrase "the machine interpretable contract " in claim 37; she agreed with both issues..